JNITED S EASTERN	TATES DISTR	DISTRICT COU ICT OF NEW YO	JRT ORK X				
UNITED S	TATES	OF AMERICA,				LICATION AN	
Edwa	d Es	tenol			15-	M - 780	
		De	fendant.				
Th	e Unite	d States of Amer	ica and the de	efendant	Edward	Estanol_	hereby jointly
							l in computing the
-							g exclusion of time in orde
time Withi	n which	an information o		iliuat Do i	illoca. The pair	aco occurre to ogo	
because						·	
		(X) they are	e engaged in plea	negotiatio	ns, which they be	lieve are likely to resu	alt in a disposition of this
case without	rial, and ti	ney require an exclusio	on of time in orde	r to focus el	forts on plea neg	gotiations without the	risk that they would not
despite their o	diligence,	have reasonable tim	e for effective pre	paration fo	r trial,		
()	they need add	fitional time to in	vestigate ar	nd prepare for tria	al due to the complex	ity of case,
(j			**************************************			
Υı	nis is the	e (circle one) firs	t/ <u>second</u> appl	lication f	or entry of a	n order of exclud	lable delay. The
		ested on8/25/1					_•
10.	Co	- 2/1 -					`` `

For defendant to read, review with counsel, and acknowledge:

I understand that federal law generally provides that I have been described in the country of the country of

I understand that federal law generally provides that I have a right to have formal charges lodged against me within thirty days of my arrest and a right to a trial on those charges within seventy days after formal charges have been lodged. I further understand that I do not have to consent to the exclusion of time sought in this application and that, by consenting to entry of this order, the date on which formal charges must be lodged will be delayed and the date for the commencement of any trial on those charges will likely be delayed as well. I also understand that if formal charges are not brought against me within the time required by law, I may seek relief from the court, and that this relief might include dismissal of the complaint now pending against me.

I have reviewed this application, as well as the order annexed below, and have discussed the question of whether I should consent to entry of an order of excludable delay carefully with my attorney.

29 Suptember 15	
)ate	Defendant
or Defendant≃s Counsel to read a	md acknowledge
	oplication and the attached order carefully with my client. I further
ertify that I have discussed with my	y client a defendant=s right to speedy indictment and the question of
hether to consent to entry of an or	der of evaluable delegation of
ontents of this application and the	der of excludable delay. I am satisfied that my client understands the
oluntarily and of his or her own for	attached order, that my client consents to the entry of the order
onsent.	ee will, and that my client has not been threatened or coerced for
V-10-0111.	
	Joine David
OR	EDER OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY
Upon the joint application o	of the United States of America and defendant Elical Estate
	e express written consent of the defendant, the time period from
	is hereby excluded in computing the time within which an information
	court finds that this exclusion of time serves the ends of justice and
mandanone muse do mod, as the C	court mas mar ans exclusion of time serves the ends of insice and
	The state of the s
atweighs the best interests of the pu	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because
(X) given the reasonable	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of
itweighs the best interests of the put (X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of firme will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations.
itweighs the best interests of the put (X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a dispession of time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations. nied the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for trial.
(X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of thout the risk that they would be deriving into account the exercise of due	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of f time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations nied the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for total; diligence.
(X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of thout the risk that they would be deriving into account the exercise of due	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a dispession of time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations. nied the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for trial.
(X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of thout the risk that they would be dering into account the exercise of due	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of f time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations nied the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for total; diligence.
(X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of thout the risk that they would be dering into account the exercise of due	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of f time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations nied the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for total; diligence.
(X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of thout the risk that they would be dering into account the exercise of due	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of f time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations nied the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for total; diligence.
(X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of thout the risk that they would be dering into account the exercise of due	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of f time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations nied the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for total; diligence.
(X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of thout the risk that they would be dering into account the exercise of due	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of f time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations nied the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for total; diligence.
(X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of thout the risk that they would be deriving into account the exercise of due	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of f time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations nied the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for total; diligence.
(X) given the reasonable is case without trial, the exclusion of thout the risk that they would be deriving into account the exercise of due	ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial because e likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a disposition of f time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations nied the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for total; diligence.